1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	
10	ANDREW KING, No. C 11-01857 WHA
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. ORDER RE MOTION TO DISMISS ATTORNEY
13	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, PUBLIC UTILITIES
14 15	COMMISSION, SAN FRANCISCO WATER DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1–25,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	Summary judgment in favor of defendants was entered last month (Dkt. No. 45). A
19	separate judgment order was also filed (Dkt. No. 46). Unsatisfied with that outcome, plaintiff
20	Andrew King himself (not his attorney of record, Attorney Curtis Oler) filed a notice of appeal
21	and motion to dismiss his counsel. Attorney Oler has not responded. By NOON ON
22	OCTOBER 25, Attorney Oler shall file a response and, if warranted, a separate motion
23	to withdraw as counsel.
24	
25	IT IS SO OPDEDED

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 22, 2012.

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE